

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN RE: BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD ANTITRUST LITIGATION (MDL NO. 2406) Master File No. 2:13-CV-20000-RDP

This Document Relates to Provider Track Cases

PROVIDER PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENT TO THEIR MEMORANDA OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF PROPOSED CLASS SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF A PLAN FOR NOTICE AND APPOINTMENT OF SETTLEMENT NOTICE ADMINISTRATOR AND SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR

The Provider Plaintiffs submit this supplement to provide the Court with items that were not filed with their Motion for Preliminary Approval (Doc. No. 3192) and their Motion for Approval of a Plan for Notice and Appointment of Settlement Notice Administrator and Settlement Administrator (Doc. No. 3194). Those items are the plan of distribution, class notices, claim forms, and claim form instructions. Together with the materials already filed, they complete a settlement and notice plan that comply with Rule 23 and the requirements of due process.

I. PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

The allocation of the Net Settlement Fund between healthcare facilities and medical professionals, as well as the distribution of the Escrow Account balance, are described in the Provider Plaintiffs' brief in support of their motion for preliminary approval. Doc. No. 3192-1 at 21–23. The remaining aspects of the Plan of Distribution (Exhibit A) are described below.

For all Settlement Class Members, the distribution from the Net Settlement Fund will depend on their "Allowed Amounts," meaning the amounts allowed by Blue Plans for Commercial Health Benefit Products from July 24, 2008 to October 4, 2024. For most healthcare facilities, the Provider Plaintiffs' experts already have data that includes allowed amounts for at least some years from 2008 to 2015. These facilities may choose the "Default Method" for determining Allowed Amounts, in which the experts' data will be extrapolated through 2024. Facilities that choose this option will have the opportunity to review the extrapolation before committing to using the Default Method, and will have the opportunity to submit corrections. All healthcare facilities may choose the "Alternative Method" for determining Allowed Amounts, in which they will submit their own data. For medical professionals, this process would likely entail a significant administrative burden, deter the submission of claims, and require inordinate resources from the Notice and Administration Fund. Therefore, the process of obtaining Allowed Amounts from professionals

will be simpler. Professionals will be given a set of ranges (e.g., \$250,000 to \$500,000), and asked to certify which range reflects their Allowed Amounts. For all claimants, the Settlement Claims Administrator will have discretion to seek more information to support these Allowed Amounts.

Hospital/Facility Distributions

The distributions to facilities from the Hospital/Facility Net Settlement fund (representing the portion of the Net Settlement Fund allocated to facilities, including hospitals) will be based on the results of the Provider Plaintiffs' experts' multiple regression model. For every healthcare facility (which will be identified by its National Provider Identifier (NPI) or Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN)), the model can produce a coefficient that represents the relative effect of the Defendants' conduct on the healthcare facility, compared to other healthcare facilities (before adjusting for the healthcare facilities' Allowed Amounts). For example, if Facilities A and B have the same Allowed Amounts, the coefficient for Facility A is 1, and the coefficient for Facility B is 2, the effect of Defendants' conduct on Facility B is twice as large as the effect on Facility A. The coefficient depends on variables such as the Blue Plans' market share in the healthcare facility's Core-Based Statistical Area (CBSA) or county that is not part of a CBSA, and the year. To account for relative effects and Allowed Amounts, each NPI or TIN for which a claimant is submitting claims will be assigned "Adjusted Allowed Amounts" equal to the product of its Allowed Amounts and the coefficient described above. When all claims have been submitted for healthcare facilities, the payment associated with any given NPI or TIN will be calculated as follows:

NPI or TIN Adjusted Allowed Amounts

÷

Total Adjusted Allowed Amounts for All Healthcare Facilities That Filed Claims

×

Hospital/Facility Net Settlement Fund

Professional Distributions

Because medical professionals move over time and their access to their financial records may thus be more difficult, and because it is less efficient to attempt to extrapolate Allowed Amounts for medical professionals than it is for healthcare facilities, the distribution method for medical professionals will be streamlined to permit them to estimate their Allowed Amounts for the Settlement Class Period within certain ranges. For any given medical professional, each range will correspond to a number of points:

Range	Points
Less than or equal to \$250,000	1
More than \$250,000, but less than or equal to \$500,000	2
More than \$500,000, but less than or equal to \$750,000	3
More than \$750,000, but less than or equal to \$1,000,000	4
More than \$1,000,000	5

The Provider Plaintiffs' experts have used a multiple regression model that will allow them to estimate a coefficient for each medical professional that represents the relative effect of the Defendants' conduct (before adjusting for Allowed Amounts), which depends on each medical professional's geographic location. Those coefficients have been grouped into ranges, with a multiplier assigned to each range. Each NPI or TIN for which a claimant submits a claim will be assigned a number of "Adjusted Points" equal to the points that correspond to that NPI or TIN's range of Allowed Amounts, multiplied by the multiplier for the geographic area in which the Medical Professional is located.

When all claims have been submitted for Medical Professionals, the payment associated with any given NPI or TIN will be calculated as follows:

NPI or TIN Adjusted Points

÷

Total Adjusted Points for All Medical Professionals Who Filed Claims

X

Professional Net Settlement Fund

The Settlement Claims Administrator and the Settlement Claims Administrator will have discretion to seek more information from claimants when they believe more investigation is warranted. If a claimant does not timely provide requested information, it may have its claim denied in whole or in part at the sole discretion of the Settlement Claims Administrator (e.g., by applying the Default Method when the claimant cannot substantiate amounts submitted pursuant to the Alternative Method).

If multiple claims are submitted for the same NPI or TIN for the same time period, the Settlement Claims Administrator will contact the claimants to see if they will agree to adjust their claims so that only one NPI or TIN is subject to a claim for any given time period. If there is no such agreement, the claimants may elect to submit to the Settlement Claims Administrator, in writing, any facts or arguments on which they are relying. The Settlement Claims Administrator shall make a determination in light of all the facts and circumstances. The Settlement Claims Administrator's determination is final.

"A plan of distribution should be approved when it allocates relief in a way that is 'fair, adequate, and reasonable.' See In re Chicken Antitrust Litig. Am. Poultry, 669 F.2d 228, 241 (5th Cir. 1982); see also Holmes v. Cont'l Can Co., 706 F.2d 1144, 1147 (11th Cir. 1983); Leverso, 18 F.3d at 1530; In re Sunbeam Sec. Litig., 176 F. Supp. 2d 1323, 1328 n.2 (S.D. Fla. 2001); Bellocco v. Curd, 2006 WL 4693490, at *2 (M.D. Fla. Apr. 6, 2006); Smith v. Floor and Decor Outlets of Am., Inc., 2017 WL 11495273, at *5 (N.D. Ga. Jan. 10, 2017). A plan of distribution will pass muster so long as 'it has a "reasonable, rational basis," particularly if "experienced and competent"

class counsel support it.' McLaughlin on Class Actions, § 6.23 (17th ed. 2020); *see also Schwartz v. TXU Corp.*, 2005 WL 3148350, at *21 (N.D. Tex. Nov. 8, 2005) (approving a plan of allocation that 'resulted in a settlement agreement that fairly and rationally allocates the proceeds of the settlement')." Subscriber Order at 49.

The proposed Plan of Distribution allocates the Net Settlement Fund in a fair, adequate, and reasonable manner. The allocation of the Net Settlement Fund to the different types of Providers—healthcare facilities and medical professionals—is based on the relative impact of the Blues' conduct on each type of Provider, and it was recommended by Kenneth Feinberg and Camille Biros after many different types of Providers were given an opportunity to comment on the allocation.

With respect to healthcare facilities, the Plan of Distribution takes advantage of existing data sources to reduce the burden on Settlement Class Members as much as possible. Many, if not most, healthcare facilities will be given the option to have their Allowed Amounts extrapolated, based on information already collected from the Blues in this litigation, and they will have the ability to review and comment on these amounts before the distributions from the Net Settlement Fund are finalized. *See* Subscriber Order at 51 ("[T]he Plan will efficiently calculate the value of millions of potential claimants based on data available from the Settling Defendants rather than requiring every Authorized Claimant to provide years of information about their premium amounts and actual contribution percentages."). Or, if they prefer, they can submit their own Allowed Amounts. Additionally, the use of relative harm estimates prepared by the Provider Plaintiffs' experts will result in distributions that are proportional to the alleged impact of the Defendants' conduct on each healthcare facility. These aspects of the Plan of Distribution support its fairness. Exhibit B (Second Declaration of Kenneth R. Feinberg and Camille S. Biros) ¶ 3–6.

With respect to medical professionals, the Plan of Distribution is fair and efficient as well. Professionals will be permitted to estimate their Allowed Amounts within pre-defined ranges, minimizing the burden of submitting a claim but allowing them to receive a distribution based on the magnitude of their business with the Blues. *See In re LIBOR-Based Fin. Instruments Antitrust Litig.*, 327 F.R.D. 483, 496 (S.D.N.Y. 2018) ("The Plans of Distribution ensure a reasonable relationship between the magnitude of a class member's alleged loss due to suppression and the recovery that the class member will receive, while requiring only mathematically straightforward calculations that are easily performed. While greater precision could be achieved ..., the Plans of Distribution and the pro rata means of allocation they contemplate strike a reasonable balance between precision and efficiency."). And, like the healthcare facilities, medical professionals will receive distributions that are proportionate to the harm they allegedly suffered. Second Feinberg/Biros Declaration ¶ 7.

II. NOTICES AND CLAIM FORMS

The Provider Plaintiffs' brief in support of their motion for approval of the notice plan describes the standards for class notice and the procedures for providing notice to the class here. Doc. No. 3194-1 at 2–3, 5–9. With this supplemental brief, the Provider Plaintiffs are submitting the email notice, postcard notice, long-form notice, and claim forms and instructions. (Exhibits 3–6 to the Amended Declaration of Roma Petkauskas, which is Exhibit C to this supplemental brief.)

The Judges' Class Action Notice and Claims Process Checklist and Plain Language Guide, published by the Federal Judicial Center, 1 contains several questions a Court should ask before approving notice documents and claim forms, including:

Are the notices designed to come to the attention of the class?

¹ Available at https://www.fjc.gov/sites/default/files/2012/NotCheck.pdf.

- Are the notices written in clear, concise, easily understood language?
- Do the notices contain sufficient information for a class member to make an informed decision?
- Is the notice in "Q&A" format? Are key topics included in logical order?
- Are the claim form questions reasonable, and are the proofs sought readily available to the class member?
- Is the claim form well-designed with clear and prominent information?
- Have you considered adding an online submission option to increase claims?

The Provider Plaintiffs' notices and claim forms were designed so that the Court can honestly answer "yes" to each of these questions. Mr. Feinberg and Ms. Biros, who have vast experience in running claims processes, have reviewed these documents and opined that they meet the requirements for approval. Second Feinberg/Biros Declaration ¶¶ 9–11.

In addition to submitting the notices and claim forms, the Provider Plaintiffs have made two small changes to their proposals that the Court should be aware of. First, they have added a requirement that Class Members who opt out must identify any third parties to whom they have assigned, transferred or otherwise given a financial interest in their claims against the Settling Defendants. Long-Form Notice (Exhibit 5 to the Amended Petkauskas Declaration) at 13. The purpose of this requirement is to identify opt-out notices that may not be authorized. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2) advisory committee's note (2018) ("Attention should focus also on the method of opting out provided in the notice. The proposed method should be as convenient as possible, while protecting against unauthorized opt-out notices."). The proposed order granting the motion for

preliminary approval has been revised to include this requirement.² Second, some dentists in certain states and some optometrists may have been paid under the Blues' medical plans, as opposed to stand-alone dental or vision plans (although most were not). Because it is not possible to consistently identify these Providers, they will be given publication notice. Exhibit D (Amended Declaration of Shannon R. Wheatman, Ph.D.) ¶¶ 22–23, 25.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, and the reasons stated in the Provider Plaintiffs' initial briefs, the Court should grant the Provider Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Proposed Class Settlement and their Motion for Approval of a Plan of Notice and Appointment of Settlement Notice Administrator and Settlement Administrator.

Dated: October 23, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Edith M. Kallas

Edith M. Kallas – *Co-Lead Counsel* WHATLEY KALLAS, LLP 152 West 57th Street

152 West 57th Street 41st Floor

New York, NY 10019 Tel: (212) 447-7060

Fax: (800) 922-4851

Email: ekallas@whatleykallas.com

/s/ Joe R. Whatley, Jr.

Joe R. Whatley, Jr. - Co-Lead Counsel

W. Tucker Brown

WHATLEY KALLAS, LLP

2001 Park Place North 1000 Park Place Tower

Birmingham, AL 35203 Tel: (205) 488-1200

Fax: (800) 922-4851

Email: jwhatley@whatleykallas.com

tbrown@whatleykallas.com

Patrick J. Sheehan

WHATLEY KALLAS, LLP

101 Federal Street

19th Floor

Boston, MA 10019

Tel: (617) 573-5118 Fax: (617) 371-2950

Email: psheehan@whatleykallas.com

Henry C. Quillen

WHATLEY KALLAS, LLP

159 Middle Street

Suite 2C

Portsmouth, NH 03801

Tel: (603) 294-1591

Fax: (800) 922-4851

Email: hquillen@whatleykallas.com

² The proposed orders have also been revised to approve publication notices that contain materially identical language to the approved forms of notice, and to make minor clarifications. Revised proposed orders are attached as Exhibits E (preliminary approval) and F (final approval).

Barry Alan Ragsdale - Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel and Discovery Liaison Counsel

Dominick Feld Hyde, PC

1130 22nd Street South Ridge Park

Suite 4000

Birmingham, AL 35205 Tel: (205) 536-8888 bragsdale@dfhlaw.com

Charles Clinton Hunter HAYES HUNTER PC 4265 San Felipe, Suite 1000

Houston, TX 77027 Tel: (281) 768-4731 Fax: (713) 583-7047

Email: chunter@hayeshunterlaw.com

Dennis Pantazis - Plaintiffs' Steering Committee

Brian Clark - Discovery Committee WIGGINS CHILDS PANTAZIS FISHER **GOLDFARB**

The Kress Building 301 Nineteenth Street North Birmingham, AL 35203 Tel: (205) 314-0500

Fax: (205) 254-1500

Email: dgp@wigginschilds.com bclark@wigginschilds.com

Dennis C. Reich – *Chair*, *Damages* Committee

REICH & BINSTOCK, LLP 4265 San Felipe, Suite 1000

Houston, TX 77027 Tel: (713) 622-7271 Fax: (713) 623-8724

Email: dreich@reichandbinstock.com

Deborah J. Winegard WHATLEY KALLAS, LLP 1068 Virginia Avenue, NE

Atlanta, GA 30306 Tel: (404) 607-8222 Fax: (404) 607-8451

Email: dwinegard@whatleykallas.com

E. Kirk Wood, Jr. - Local Facilitating

Counsel

WOOD LAW FIRM LLC

P. O. Box 382434

Birmingham, AL 35238 Tel: (205) 612-0243 Fax: (205) 705-1223

Email: kirk@woodlawfirmllc.com

Aaron S. Podhurst – *Plaintiffs' Steering*

Committee

Peter Prieto – Chair, Expert Committee

PODHURST ORSECK, P.A.

One S.E. 3rd Avenue

Suite 2300

Miami, FL 33131

Tel: (305) 358-2800 Fax: (305) 358-2382

Email: apodhurst@podhurst.com pprieto@podhurst.com

U.W. Clemon - Plaintiffs' Steering

Committee

U. W. Clemon, LLC

5202 Mountain Ridge Parkway

Birmingham, AL 35222 Tel: (205) 837-2898

Email: uwclemon1@gmail.com

Nicholas B. Roth – *Chair, Discovery* Committee

J. Mark White - Litigation Committee Augusta S. Dowd - Chair, Litigation

Julia Smeds Roth – *Discovery Committee*EYSTER KEY TUBB ROTH MIDDLETON
& ADAMS, LLP

402 East Moulton Street, SE

Decatur, AL 35602 Tel: (256) 353-6761 Fax: (256) 353-6767

Email: nbroth@eysterkeylaw.com iroth@eysterkeylaw.com

David A. Balto – *Expert Committee*THE LAW OFFICES OF DAVID A. BALTO
1350 I Street, N.W., Suite 850

Washington, DC 20005 Tel: (202) 789-5424 Fax: (202) 589-1819

Email: david.balto@dcantitrustlaw.com

Committee

Linda G. Flippo – *Discovery Committee* WHITE ARNOLD & DOWD, P.C.

2001 Park Place North

Suite 1400

Birmingham, AL 35203 Tel: (205) 323-1888 Fax: (205) 323-8907

Email: mwhite@whitearnolddowd.com adowd@whitearnolddowd.com lflippo@whitearnolddowd.com

Van Bunch – Chair, Class Certification Committee

BONNETT FAIRBOURN FRIEDMAN & BALINT, P.C.

2325 E. Camelback Road, Suite 300

Phoenix, AZ 85016 Tel: (602) 274-1100 Fax: (602) 274-1199 Email: vbunch@bffb.com Joey K. James - Litigation Committee

BUNCH & JAMES P. O. Box 878 Florence, AL 35631

Tel: (256) 764-0095 Fax: (256) 767-5705

Email: joey@joeyjameslaw.com

Robert J. Axelrod - Chair, Written

Submissions Committee

AXELROD LLP

800 Third Avenue, Suite 2800

New York, NY 10022 Tel: (646) 448-5263 Fax: (212) 840-8560

Email: raxelrod39@gmail.com

Richard S. Frankowski – Discovery Committee

THE FRANKOWSKI FIRM, LLC 231 22nd Street South, Suite 203

Birmingham, AL 35233 Tel: (205) 390-0399 Fax: (205) 390-1001

Email: richard@frankowskifirm.com

W. Daniel Miles, III - Written Submissions

Committee

BEASLEY ALLEN CROW METHVIN

PORTIS

& MILES, P.C. 218 Commerce Street Montgomery, AL 36104 Tel: (800) 898-2034

Fax: (334) 954-7555

Email: dee.miles@beasleyallen.com

John C. Davis – Written Submissions

Committee

LAW OFFICE OF JOHN C. DAVIS

623 Beard Street Tallahassee, FL 32303 Tel: (850) 222-4770

Email: john@johndavislaw.net

Michael C. Dodge – *Expert Committee* GLAST PHILLIPS & MURRAY, P.C.

14801 Quorum Drive, Suite 500

Dallas, TX 75254 Tel: (972) 419-7172

Email: mdodge@gpm-law.com

Mark K. Gray – Discovery Committee

GRAY & WHITE

713 E. Market Street, Suite 200

Louisville, KY 40202 Tel: (502) 805-1800 Fax: (502) 618-4059

Email: mgray@grayandwhitelaw.com

Michael E. Gurley, Jr. – *Discovery Committee*

Attorney at Law

24108 Portobello Road Birmingham, AL 35242 Tel: (205) 908-6512

Email: mgurleyir@yahoo.com

Stephen M. Hansen – Class Certification

Committee

LAW OFFICE OF STEPHEN M. HANSEN

1821 Dock Street Tacoma, WA 98402 Tel: (253) 302-5955 Fax: (253) 301-1147

Email: steve@stephenmhansenlaw.com

Lynn W. Jinks, III – *Expert Committee* Christina D. Crow – *Discovery Committee*

JINKS CROW, P.C. 219 North Prairie Street Union Springs, AL 36089 Tel: (334) 738-4225 Fax: (334) 738-4229

Email: ljinks@jinkslaw.com ccrow@jinkslaw.com

Harley S. Tropin – *Damages Committee* Javier A. Lopez – *Discovery Committee* KOZYAK TROPIN & THROCKMORTON, P.A.

2525 Ponce De Leon Boulevard, 9th Floor

Miami, FL 33134 Tel: (305) 372-1800 Fax: (305) 372-3508 Email: hst@kttlaw.com

jal@kttlaw.com

C. Wes Pittman – *Settlement Committee* THE PITTMAN FIRM, P.A.

432 McKenzie Avenue Panama City, FL 32401 Tel: (850) 784-9000 Fax: (850) 763-6787

Email: wes@pittmanfirm.com

Robert B. Roden – Litigation Committee

SHELBY RODEN, LLC 2956 Rhodes Circle Birmingham, AL 35205 Tel: (205) 933-8383 Fax: (205) 933-8386

Email: rroden@shelbyroden.com

Gary E. Mason – Class Certification Committee

WHITFIELD BRYSON & MASON, LLP 1625 Massachusetts Ave. NW, Suite 605

Washington, DC 20036 Tel: (202) 429-2290 Fax: (202) 640-1160

Email: gmason@wbmllp.com

Michael L. Murphy – Discovery Committee

BAILEY GLASSER LLP 910 17th Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20006 Tel: (202) 463-2101

Fax: (202) 463-2103

Email: mmurphy@baileyglasser.com

Lance Michael Sears

Myron C. Penn – *Discovery Committee*

PENN & SEABORN, LLC

53 Highway 110 Post Office Box 5335 Union Springs, AL 36089 Tel: (334) 738-4486

Tel: (334) 738-4486 Fax: (334) 738-4432

Email: myronpenn28@hotmail.com

J. Preston Strom, Jr. - Litigation Committee

STROM LAW FIRM, LLC

2110 N. Beltline Boulevard, Suite A

Columbia, SC 29204-3905

Tel: (803) 252-4800 Fax: (803) 252-4801

Email: petestrom@stromlaw.com

Thomas V. Bender – Discovery Committee

Dirk L. Hubbard

HORN AYLWARD & BANDY, LLC

2600 Grand Blvd., Suite 1100 Kansas City, MO 64108 Tel: (816) 421-0700

Email: tbender@hab-law.com dhubbard@hab-law.com

Gregory S. Cusimano – *Litigation Committee* CUSIMANO, ROBERTS & MILLS, LLC

153 South 9th Street Gadsden, AL 35901 Phone: (256) 543-0400 Fax: (256) 543-0488

Email: greg@alalawyers.net

Brian E. Wojtalewicz

WOJTALEWICZ LAW FIRM, LTD.

139 N. Miles Street Appleton, MN 56208 Tel: (320) 289-2363 Fax: (320) 289-2369

Email: brian@wojtalewiczlawfirm.com

Archie C. Lamb, Jr.

SEARS & SWANSON, P.C.

First Bank Building

2 North Cascade Avenue, Suite 1250

Colorado Springs, CO 80903

Tel: (719) 471-1984 Fax: (719) 577-4356

Email: lance@searsassociates.com

Jessica Dillon Ray R. Brown Molly Brown

DILLON & FINDLEY, P.C. 1049 W. 5th Avenue, Suite 200

Anchorage, AK 99501 Tel: (907) 277-5400 Fax: (907) 277-9896

Email: Jessica@dillonfindley.com

Ray@dillonfindley.com Molly@dillonfindley.com 301 19th Street North, Suite 585 The Kress Bldg.

ARCHIE LAMB & ASSOCIATES, LLC

Birmingham, AL 35203-3145

(205) 458-1210

Email: alamb@archielamb.com

Paul Lundberg

LUNDBERG LAW, PLC 600 4TH Street, Suite 906

Sioux City, IA 51101 Tel: (712) 234-3030 Fax: (712) 234-3034

Email: paul@lundberglawfirm.com

Allyson C. Dirksen HEIDMAN LAW FIRM, P.L.L.C.

1128 Historic 4th Street

P. O. Box 3086 Sioux City, IA 51101 Tel: (712) 255-8838 Fax (712) 258-6714

Email: allyson.dirksen@heidmanlaw.com

Gwen Simons

Simons & Associates Law, P.A.

P.O. Box 1238

Scarborough, ME 04070-1238

Tel: (207) 205-2045 Fax: (207) 883-7225

Email: gwen@simonsassociateslaw.com

Counsel for Provider Plaintiffs